International application No.

PCT/JP2005/000834

		201,011	.003/000031		
A. CLASSFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl <sup>7</sup> A61F13/53					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
	nentation searched telassification system followed by cl A61F13/15-13/84	assification symbols)			
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1926-1996 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994-2005  Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2005 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996-2005					
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)					
C. DOCUMEN	VTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap		Refevant to claim No.		
X Y	JP 56-6098 Y2 (Japan Vilene Co., Ltd.), 10 February, 1981 (10.02.81), Claim of utility model; column 3, lines 18 to 35 (Family: none)		1-2,4-5,7-10 3,6		
Y	JP 5-140848 A (Kao Corp.), 08 June, 1993 (08.06.93), Par. Nos. [0006] to [0007] (Family: none)		3,6		
A	JP 10-508225 A (The Procter 18 August, 1998 (18.08.98), & WO 96/10974 A	& Gamble Co.),	1-10		
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.					
Special categories of cited documents:  A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered.		"I" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the crossing or the gry underlying the constant.			
to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		the principle of theory underlying the invention  XT document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone.  YT document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family			
Date of the actual completion of the international search 16 February, 2005 (16.02.05)		Date of mailing of the international search report 26 April, 2005 (26.04.05)			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office		Authorized officer			
Contract to At		E. L. alambasa a M. s.			

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000834

	). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Distances to duine \$1.	
alegory*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		
A	JP 10-329252 A (Kao Corp.), 15 December, 1998 (15.12.98), Par. No. [0013] (Family: none)	1-10	

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/000834

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:  1. Claims Nos.:  because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. Claims Nos.:  because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.:  because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  The common technical matter of claims 1 to 10 is to provide a stretchable absorbing article. Said matter is known to the public, as is described in the present specification, and thus is not a special technical feature in the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, the second sentence.  And, the special technical feature in the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, the second sentence, which is common to the inventions according to claims 1 to 8 and 10, is a stretchable absorbing article which comprises a number of small absorbing bulk articles containing a high absorbing polymer and fibers and being three-dimensionally dispersed and arranged. But, this special (continued to extra sheet)  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The a thickness country for a pure consumption of the theory is a continuous continuous.
The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000834

Continuation of Box No.III of continuation of first sheet(2)

technical feature is not described in the invention according to claim 9.

Accordingly, it is clear that, at least, the inventions according to claims 1 to 8 and 10 and the invention according to claim 9 do not comply with the requirement of unity of invention.